|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Agency and Project Name:** | | | | | | |
| **Reviewer Name:** | | **Outcome** | | **Maximum Points** | | **Points**  **Awarded** |
| **1. Data Quality** *(Data from APR Q6a, 6b, 6c)* | |  | | **10** | |  |
| Project has less than 5% missing/refused/don't know for data elements:  10: all identified data elements meet <5% benchmark / 5: 10 -14 data elements meet <5% benchmark / 0: Fewer than 10 data elements meet <5% benchmark | |  | |  | |  |
|  | |  | |  | |  |
| **2. Housing Stability** *(Data from APR Q5a and Q23c)* | |  | | **20** | |  |
| % of persons achieving housing stability: 20: > 80% / 10: 79%-70% / 5: 69%-65%/ 0: <65% | |  | |  | |  |
|  | |  | |  | |  |
| **3. Increased or Maintained Income** *(Data from APR Q5a and Q19a2)* | |  | | **5** | |  |
| % of adult participants who increased or maintained cash income from entry to exit: 5: 100%-85% / 3: 84% - 70% /  0: < 70% | |  | |  | |  |
|  | |  | |  | |  |
| **4. Bed Utilization Rate** *(Data pulled from CMIS by Housing Alliance DE; Site-Based Projects Only)* | |  | | **5** | |  |
| 5: 100% - 85% / 3: 84% - 65% / 0: <65% | |  | |  | |  |
|  | |  | |  | |  |
| **5. Housing First** *(Scoring Committee review of program policies, procedures and Evaluation Tool responses)* | |  | | **10** | |  |
| 10: Agency answers Yes to the Evaluation Tool housing first question, AND detailed description of program policies, procedures, and approach are provided that clearly align with housing first and it is reflected in attached policies and procedures / 5: Agency answers Yes to Evaluation Tool housing first question, BUT description lacks specific policies, procedures, and approach, and/or policies and procedures do not clearly reflect housing first / 0: The agency answers No to the Evaluation Tool housing first question. | |  | |  | |  |
|  | |  | |  | |  |
| **6. Low Barrier Access to Housing and Services** *(Scoring Committee review of program policies, procedures and Evaluation Tool responses)* | |  | | **10** | |  |
| 10: Agency answers Yes to the Evaluation Tool low barrier access question, AND description clearly reflects low barriers to entry and policies and procedures clearly reflect this / 5: Agency answers Yes to the Evaluation Tool low barrier access question, BUT description and/or policies and procedures do not clearly reflect low barriers to entry / 0: The agency answers No to the Evaluation Tool low barrier access question. | |  | |  | |  |
|  | |  | |  | |  |
| **7. Severity of Need: Rate of Adults served with disabling condition** *(Data from APR Q13a2)* | |  | | **10** | |  |
| Projects primarily serving Adult-Child Households: 10: 100% - 80% / 5: 79% - 60% / 0: <60%;  Projects primarily serving Adult-Only Households: 10: 100%-90% / 5: 89% - 80% / 0: <80% | |  | |  | |  |
|  | |  | |  | |  |
| **8. Participation in CoC Planning** *(Data from agency response and CoC records pulled by HAD)* | |  | | **5** |  | |
| 5: Agency has voting member AND participated in at least 4 CoC membership meetings in 2019 or 2020 / 2: Agency may/may not have voting member, Agency attended at least 2 membership meetings in 2019 or 2020 / 0: Agency did not participate in any CoC membership meetings in 2019 or 2020 | |  | |  |  | |
|  | |  | |  |  | |
| **9. Chronic Homeless Priority** *(Data from agency & project application)* | |  | | **10** |  | |
| 10: 100% - 80% of beds in project are dedicated or prioritized for CH / 5: 79% - 60% of beds in project are dedicated or prioritized for CH/ 0: < 60% of beds in project are dedicated or prioritized | |  | |  |  | |
|  | |  | |  |  | |
| **10. Chronically Homeless Households Served** *(Data from Q5a and 26b)* | |  | | **5** |  | |
| Note: HAD staff will review information provided by agency regarding non-chronic households and adjust the calculation as needed for clients “waived” by CI, transfers, etc.  5: 100% - 90% / 2: 89% - 80% / 0: < 80% | |  | |  |  | |
|  | |  | |  |  | |
| **11. Support Services** *(Scoring Committee review of Evaluation Tool responses)* | |  | | **10** |  | |
| 10: Support services provided are at sufficient capacity (e.g. client/staff ratio), best practices are utilized, voluntary services model is clearly and correctly implemented, services provided are housing focused and able to meet the intensive services needs of the clients served / 5: Support services are provided but it is not clear how well services implemented / 0: There are serious concerns about the capacity of the project to provide sufficient support services to clients in the housing program. | |  | |  |  | |
|  | |  | |  |  | |
| **12. Cost Effectiveness** *(Data from agency, APR & project application)* | |  | | **N/A** |  | |
| The CoC Scoring Committee will consider this metric in their ranking and funding decisions. This item is not scored. | |  | |  |  | |
| **Scoring Section** | | | | | | |
| Total possible points | |  | | **100** |  | |
| Points Awarded | |  | |  |  | |
| **Project Score (Awarded/100)** | |  | |  |  | |